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#### Abstract

We work out examples of tensor products for distinct $q$-generalizations of Euclidean, oscillator and $s \ell(2)$ type superalgebras in cases where the method of highest-weight vectors will not apply. In particular, we use the three-term recurrence relations for Askey-Wilson polynomials to decompose the tensor product of representations from the positive discrete series and representations from the negative discrete series. We show that various $q$-analogues of the exponential function can be used to mimic the exponential mapping from a Lie algebra to its Lie group and we compute the corresponding matrix elements of the 'group operators' on these representation spaces. We show that the matrix elements themselves transform irreducibly under the action of the quantum superalgebra. The most important $q$-series identities derived here are interpreted as the expansion of the matrix elements of a 'group operator' (via the exponential mapping) in a tensor product basis in terms of the matrix elements in a reduced basis. They involve $q$-hypergeometric series with base $-q, 0<q<1$.


## 1. Introduction

Zhedanov and others have introduced a product of generalized $s \ell_{q}(2)$ algebras that allows one to take tensor products of representations corresponding to two distinct algebras [7, 8, 28]. Their generalization is an algebra $(v, u)$ with generators $H, E_{+}, E_{-}$which obey the commutation relations
$\left[H, E_{+}\right]=E_{+} \quad\left[H, E_{-}\right]=-E_{-} \quad\left[E_{+}, E_{-}\right]=-u q^{-H}-v q^{H}$.
Here, $u$ and $v$ are real numbers and $0<q<1$. For $u v \neq 0$ this algebra is isomorphic to one of the true $s \ell_{q}(2)$ type algebras, for $u v=0, u^{2}+v^{2}>0$ it is isomorphic to a special realization of the $q$-oscillator algebra, and for $u=v=0$ it is isomorphic to the Euclidean Lie algebra $m(2)$ [12]. This algebra has an invariant element

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=E_{+} E_{-}+\frac{v q^{H}-u q^{1-H}}{1-q} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As pointed out by Zhedanov and others [7, 8, 28], the family of algebras admits a multiplication $(v, u) \otimes(-u, t) \cong(v, t)$, defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+}=\Delta\left(E_{+}\right)=E_{+} \otimes q^{\frac{1}{2} H}+q^{-\frac{1}{2} H} \otimes E_{+} \\
& F_{-}=\Delta\left(E_{-}\right)=E_{-} \otimes q^{\frac{1}{2} H}+q^{-\frac{1}{2} H} \otimes E_{-}  \tag{3}\\
& L=\Delta(H)=H \otimes I+I \otimes H
\end{align*}
$$

The operators $F_{ \pm}, L$ satisfy the commutation relations (1). Using (3) we can easily define the tensor product $\rho \otimes \mu$ of a representation $\rho$ of $(v, u)$ and the representation $\mu$ of $(-u, t)$, thereby obtaining a representation of $(v, t)$. This construction yields a convenient generalization of the tensor product computations in, for example, [13-15]. We follow this idea to study generalizations of the $\operatorname{osp}_{q}(1 / 2)$ algebra [3, 21, 23].

In this paper the $q$-superalgebra $[v, u]$ is defined by the generators $H, V_{ \pm}$and the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H, V_{ \pm}\right]= \pm \frac{1}{2} V_{ \pm} \quad\left\{V_{+}, V_{-}\right\}=-u q^{-2 H}-v q^{2 H} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[A, B]=A B-B A$ and $\{A, B\}=A B+B A$. Here $u, v$ are parameters. The 'Casimir' operator $C$ for $[v, u]$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=V_{+} V_{-}+\frac{v}{1+q} q^{2 H}+\frac{u}{1+q^{-1}} q^{-2 H} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satisfies the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
[H, C]=0 \quad\left\{V_{ \pm}, C\right\}=0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $C^{2}$ is an invariant operator, i.e. $C^{2}$ commutes with all elements of the $[v, u]$ algebra.

We define the coproduct

$$
\begin{align*}
& L=\Delta(H)=H \otimes I+I \otimes H \\
& F_{ \pm}=\Delta\left(V_{ \pm}\right)=V_{ \pm} \otimes q^{H}+q^{-H} \otimes V_{ \pm} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D)=(-1)^{p(B) p(C)} A C \otimes B D \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $p(A)$ is the parity of the operator $A$. In this case the bosonic variables $H, q^{H}$ have parity 0 and the fermionic variables $V_{ \pm}$have parity 1 [2,21]. It follows that this algebra admits a multiplication of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
[v, u] \otimes[-u, t]=[v, t] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first factor corresponds to the algebra $[v, u]$ and the second factor to algebra $[-u, t]$. Indeed the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L, F_{ \pm}\right]= \pm \frac{1}{2} F_{ \pm} \quad\left\{F_{+}, F_{-}\right\}=-t q^{-2 L}-v q^{2 L} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied. The only non-trivial part of the proof is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{F_{-}, F_{+}\right\} & =\left(-u q^{-2 H}-v q^{2 H}\right) \otimes q^{2 H}+q^{-2 H} \otimes\left(-t q^{-2 H}+u q^{2 H}\right) \\
& =-t q^{-2 H} \otimes q^{-2 H}-v q^{2 H} \otimes q^{2 H}=-t q^{-2 L}-v q^{2 L}
\end{aligned}
$$

In sections 2 and 3 we study irreducible representations of the 'Euclidean' algebra [0, 0] and work out the (non-unique) tensor product decomposition for

$$
[0,0] \otimes[0,0] \cong[0,0]
$$

We compute the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the expansion and use them to derive $q$-series identities for the special functions that appear naturally in the theory. They are interpreted here as expansions of the matrix elements of a 'group operator' in a tensor product basis in terms of the matrix elements in a reduced basis. The $q$-series are base $-q$, as follows naturally from expressions (7). In section 4 we carry out the analogous constructions for positive discrete series representations of the general algebra $[v, u]$ for $v^{2}+u^{2}>0$ tensored with negative discrete series representations. Here our methods lead
naturally to a three-term recurrence relation for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients that can be solved through comparison with the recurrence relation with Askey-Wilson polynomials. This yields the measure (not necessarily positive) determining the decomposition of the tensor product into irreducible components.

The notation used for $q$-series in this paper follows that of Gasper and Rahman [6].

## 2. Euclidean $q$-superalgebra representations

The three dimensional $q$-supersymmetric Lie algebra [0, 0] is determined by its generators $H, V_{+}, V_{-}$which obey the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H, V_{ \pm}\right]= \pm V_{ \pm} \quad\left\{V_{+}, V_{-}\right\}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider an analogy $(\omega)$ of the infinite dimensional irreducible representations of the Euclidean Lie algebra, characterized by the non-zero complex number $\omega$ The spectrum of $H$ corresponding to $(\omega)$ is the set $S=\{n / 2: n \in Z\}$ and the complex representation space has basis vectors $f_{m}, m \in S$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{+} f_{m}=\omega f_{m+1} \quad V_{-} f_{m}=(-1)^{m} \omega f_{m-1} \quad H f_{m}=\frac{m}{2} f_{m} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C \equiv V_{+} V_{-}$is the 'Casimir' operator, with $C f_{m}=(-1)^{m} \omega^{2} f_{m}$. Note that the representations $(\omega)$ and $(-\omega)$ are equivalent.

A simple realization of $(\omega)$ is given by the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} z \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} z} \quad V_{+}=\omega z \quad V_{-}=\frac{\omega}{z} R_{z} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

acting on the space of all linear combinations of the functions $z^{n}, z$ a complex variable, $n \in Z$, with basis vectors $f_{m}(z)=z^{m}$. Here, the operator $R_{z}$ acts on functions $f(z)$ to give $R_{z} f(z)=f(-z)$. Note that

$$
q^{H}=T_{z}^{1 / 2} \quad \text { where } T_{z}^{\alpha} f(z)=f\left(q^{\alpha} z\right)
$$

We can introduce an inner product on the dense subspace of all finite linear combinations of the basis vectors, such that $\left\langle f_{n}, f_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\delta_{n n^{\prime}}, n, n^{\prime} \in Z$. This induces the representation $(\bar{\omega})^{*}$ of $[0,0]$, adjoint to $(\omega)$, defined by the operators $V_{ \pm}^{\prime}=V_{\mp}^{*}, H^{\prime}=H=H^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{+}^{\prime} f_{m}=(-1)^{m+1} \bar{\omega} f_{m+1} \quad V_{-}^{\prime} f_{m}=\bar{\omega} f_{m-1} \quad H^{\prime} f_{m}=\frac{m}{2} f_{m} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\omega$ real, this means $V_{+}^{\prime}=(-1)^{2 H} V_{+}, V_{-}^{\prime}=V_{-}(-1)^{2 H}$.
In terms of the operators (13) we can obtain a realization of $(\omega)$ and its Hilbert space structure by setting $z=\mathrm{e}^{i \theta}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H=-\frac{i}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} \theta} & V_{+}=\omega \mathrm{e}^{i \theta} \quad V_{-}=\omega \mathrm{e}^{-i \theta} R_{z} \\
f_{n}(z)=\mathrm{e}^{i n \theta} & \left\langle f, f^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(\mathrm{e}^{i \theta}\right) \overline{f^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i \theta}\right)} \mathrm{d} \theta \tag{15}
\end{array}
$$

With the $q$-analogues of the exponential function

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{q}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k}}{(q ; q)_{k}}=\frac{1}{(x ; q)_{\infty}} \quad|x|<1 \\
& E_{q}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2} x^{k}}{(q ; q)_{k}}=(-x ; q)_{\infty} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

we employ the model (13) to define the following $q$-analogues of matrix elements of $(\omega)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{-q}\left(\beta V_{+}\right) E_{-q}\left(\alpha V_{-}\right) f_{n}=\sum_{n^{\prime}=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e+, E-)}(\alpha, \beta) f_{n^{\prime}} \quad|\omega \beta|<1 \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{-q}\left(\beta V_{+}\right) e_{-q}\left(\alpha V_{-}\right) f_{n}=\sum_{n^{\prime}=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(E+, e-)}(\alpha, \beta) f_{n^{\prime}} \quad|\omega \alpha|<1 \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c)

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{-q}\left(\beta V_{-}\right) E_{-q}\left(\alpha V_{+}\right) f_{n}=\sum_{n^{\prime}=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e-, E+)}(\alpha, \beta) f_{n^{\prime}} \quad|\omega \beta|<1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{-q}\left(\beta V_{-}\right) e_{-q}\left(\alpha V_{+}\right) f_{n}=\sum_{n^{\prime}=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(E-, e+)}(\alpha, \beta) f_{n^{\prime}} \quad|\omega \alpha|<1 \tag{d}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<q<1$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. (Here, $\alpha, \beta$ are not fermionic variables which is the usual choice in defining the action of supersymmetric groups [20, 25].) The motivation for the choice of base $-q$ comes from the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V_{ \pm} \otimes q^{H}\right)\left(q^{-H} \otimes V_{ \pm}\right)=-q^{ \pm 1}\left(q^{-H} \otimes V_{ \pm}\right)\left(V_{ \pm} \otimes q^{H}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the well known property that if $X$ and $Y$ are linear operators such that $Y X=p X Y$ then [6, page 28]

$$
\begin{align*}
& (Y+X)^{k}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \frac{(p ; p)_{k}}{(p ; p)_{\ell}(p ; p)_{k-\ell}} X^{\ell} Y^{k-\ell}  \tag{22}\\
& e_{p}(X+Y)=e_{p}(X) e_{p}(Y) \quad E_{p}(X+Y)=E_{p}(Y) E_{p}(X)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $V_{+}^{*}=V_{-}^{\prime}, V_{-}^{*}=V_{+}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e \pm, E \mp)}(\alpha, \beta)=\overline{T_{n n^{\prime}}^{\prime(E \pm, e \mp)}(\bar{\beta}, \bar{\alpha})} .  \tag{23}\\
& T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(E \pm, e \mp)}(\alpha, \beta)=\overline{T_{n n^{\prime}}^{(e \pm, E \mp)}(\bar{\beta}, \bar{\alpha})} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, since $e_{-q}(x) E_{-q}(-x)=1$, we have the identities

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{n \ell}^{(e-, E+)}(\alpha, \beta) T_{\ell n^{\prime}}^{(e+, E-)}(-\beta,-\alpha)=\delta_{n n^{\prime}} & |\omega \alpha|,|\omega \beta|<1 \\
\sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} T_{n \ell}^{(E+, e-)}(\alpha, \beta) T_{\ell n^{\prime}}^{(E-, e+)}(-\beta,-\alpha)=\delta_{n n^{\prime}} & |\omega \alpha|,|\omega \beta|<1 \tag{26}
\end{array}
$$

(Note that our operator derivations of these formulae and of some formulae to follow lead automatically to formal power series identities in the 'group parameters'. These identities must then be examined case by case to determine when the series are convergent as analytic functions of the group parameters.) Using the model (13) to treat (17)-(20) as generating functions for the matrix elements and computing the coefficients of $z^{n^{\prime}}$ in the resulting expressions we obtain the explicit results $(p=-q)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e+, E-)}(\alpha, \beta) & =\frac{(\beta \omega)^{n^{\prime}-n}}{(p ; p)_{n^{\prime}-n}}\left[{ }_{1} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
p^{n^{\prime}-n+1}
\end{array} ; p,(-1)^{n+1} i \alpha \beta \omega^{2}\right) \frac{1-i}{2}\right. \\
& \left.+{ }_{1} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
p^{n^{\prime}-n+1}
\end{array} ; p,(-1)^{n} i \alpha \beta \omega^{2}\right) \frac{1+i}{2}\right] \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(E+, e-)}(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{(\beta \omega)^{n^{\prime}-n} p^{\left(n^{\prime}-n\right)\left(n^{\prime}-n-1\right) / 2}}{(p ; p)_{n^{\prime}-n}} \\
& \times\left[{ }_{1} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
p^{n^{\prime}-n+1}
\end{array} ; p,(-1)^{n+1} p^{n^{\prime}-n} i \alpha \beta \omega^{2}\right) \frac{1-i}{2}\right. \\
&\left.+{ }_{1} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
p^{n^{\prime}-n+1}
\end{array} ; p,(-1)^{n} p^{n^{\prime}-n} i \alpha \beta \omega^{2}\right) \frac{1+i}{2}\right]  \tag{28}\\
& T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e-, E+)}(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{(\alpha \omega)^{n^{\prime}-n} p^{\left(n^{\prime}-n\right)\left(n^{\prime}-n-1\right) / 2}}{(p ; p)_{n^{\prime}-n}}\left[{ } _ { 1 } \phi _ { 1 } \left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
p^{n^{\prime}-n+1}
\end{array} ; p,(-1)^{n^{\prime}} p^{\left.n^{n^{\prime}-n} i \alpha \beta \omega^{2}\right) \frac{1-i}{2}}\right.\right. \\
&\left.+{ }_{1} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
p^{n^{\prime}-n+1}
\end{array} ; p,(-1)^{n^{\prime}+1} p^{n^{\prime}-n} i \alpha \beta \omega^{2}\right) \frac{1+i}{2}\right]  \tag{29}\\
& T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(E-, e+)}(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{(\alpha \omega)^{n^{\prime}-n}}{(p ; p)_{n^{\prime}-n}}\left[{ } _ { 1 } \phi _ { 1 } \left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\left.p^{n^{\prime}-n+1} ; p,(-1)^{n^{\prime}} i \alpha \beta \omega^{2}\right) \frac{1-i}{2} \\
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
&\left.{ }_{1} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
p^{n^{\prime}-n+1}
\end{array} ; p,(-1)^{n^{\prime}+1} i \alpha \beta \omega^{2}\right) \frac{1+i}{2}\right] . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have made use of the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2}=\frac{1-i}{2}(i)^{n}+\frac{1+i}{2}(-i)^{n} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results make sense for $n>n^{\prime}$ as well as $n^{\prime} \geqslant n$. Indeed, for $k>0$ we have
$\lim _{m \rightarrow-k} \frac{1}{(p ; p)_{m}}{ }_{1} \phi_{1}\binom{0}{p^{m+1} ; p, B}=\frac{p^{k(k-1) / 2}(-B)^{k}}{(p ; p)_{k}}{ }_{1} \phi_{1}\binom{0}{p^{k+1} ; p, p^{k} B}$.
The matrix elements $T_{n^{\prime} n}(\alpha, \beta)$ themselves define models of the representations $(\omega)$. We can see this directly from (11). For example, it is a simple consequence of these relations and $e_{p}(x)=(x ; p)_{\infty}^{-1}, E_{p}(x)=(-x ; p)_{\infty}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{p}\left(\beta V_{+}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha V_{-}\right) V_{+}=\frac{1}{\beta}\left(I-T_{\beta}\right) R_{\alpha} e_{p}\left(\beta V_{+}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha V_{-}\right)  \tag{33}\\
& e_{p}\left(\beta V_{+}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha V_{-}\right) V_{-}=\frac{p}{\alpha}\left(T_{\alpha}^{-1}-I\right) e_{p}\left(\beta V_{+}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha V_{-}\right) \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity operator and $T_{\beta} g(\alpha, \beta)=g(\alpha, \beta p), R_{\alpha} g(\alpha, \beta)=g(-\alpha, \beta)$ for a function $g(\alpha, \beta)$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
\omega T_{n^{\prime}, n+1}^{(e+, E-)}(\alpha, \beta) & =\left\langle e_{p}\left(\beta V_{+}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha V_{-}\right) V_{+} f_{n}, f_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{\beta}\left(I-T_{\beta}\right) R_{\alpha} T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e+, E-)}(\alpha, \beta)
\end{aligned} \\
& (-1)^{n} \omega T_{n^{\prime}, n-1}^{(e+, E-)}(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{p}{\alpha}\left(T_{\alpha}^{-1}-I\right) T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e+, E-)}(\alpha, \beta) \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, induction with respect to $k+\ell$ yields $\left[H, \alpha^{\ell} \beta^{k} V_{+}^{k} V_{-}^{\ell}\right]=\frac{1}{2}(k-\ell) \alpha^{\ell} \beta^{k} V_{+}^{k} V_{-}^{\ell}$ and this implies

$$
\left[H, e_{p}\left(\beta V_{+}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha V_{-}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta \partial_{\beta}-\alpha \partial_{\alpha}\right) e_{p}\left(\beta V_{+}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha V_{-}\right)
$$

so

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2}\left(n^{\prime}-n\right) T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e+, E-)}(\alpha, \beta) \\
&=\left\langle e_{p}\left(\beta V_{+}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha V_{-}\right) f_{n}, H f_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle-\left\langle e_{p}\left(\beta V_{+}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha V_{-}\right) H f_{n}, f_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
&=\left\langle\left[H, e_{p}\left(\beta V_{+}\right) e_{p}\left(\alpha V_{-}\right)\right] f_{n}, f_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left(\beta \partial_{\beta}-\alpha \partial_{\alpha}\right) T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e+, E-)}(\alpha, \beta) \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the operators
$\tilde{V}_{+}=\frac{1}{\beta}\left(I-T_{\beta}\right) R_{\alpha} \quad \tilde{V}_{-}=\frac{p}{\alpha}\left(T_{\alpha}^{-1}-I\right) \quad \tilde{H}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha \partial_{\alpha}-\beta \partial_{\beta}\right)+\frac{n^{\prime}}{2}$
and the basis functions $f_{n}=T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e+, E-)}$ define a two-variable realization of relations (11), hence, a realization of the representation $(\omega)$. Similar considerations apply to all of the matrix elements $T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e \pm, E \mp)}, T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(E \pm, e \mp)}$, as well as to $T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e \pm, e \mp)}, T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(E \pm, E \mp)}$ [16].

## 3. Tensor products of Euclidean $q$-superalgebra representations

We study the tensor product of two irreducible representations $\left(\omega_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\omega_{2}\right)$ of $[0,0]$ in which the coproduct is (7):

$$
L=\Delta(H)=H \otimes I+I \otimes H \quad F_{ \pm}=\Delta\left(V_{ \pm}\right)=V_{ \pm} \otimes q^{H}+q^{-H} \otimes V_{ \pm}
$$

The operators $F_{ \pm}, L$ satisfy the same relations as the operators $V_{ \pm}, H$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L, F_{ \pm}\right]= \pm \frac{1}{2} F_{ \pm} \quad\left\{F_{+}, F_{-}\right\}=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each irreducible representation $\left(\omega_{1}\right),\left(\omega_{2}\right)$ is defined on $L_{2}[0,2 \pi]$ by the prescription (15). To make sense of the operators (7) on a dense subspace of the tensor product space $L[0,2 \pi] \otimes L[0,2 \pi]$ we proceed as follows. The Hilbert space inner product is

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right) \overline{g\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)} \mathrm{d} \theta_{1} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{2}
$$

An orthonormal basis is $\left\{f_{n_{1} n_{2}}=\mathrm{e}^{i\left(n_{1} \theta_{1}+n_{2} \theta_{2}\right)}, n_{j}=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\right\}$. Using (13) we define the coproduct operators as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{+}=\omega_{1} z_{1} T_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\omega_{2} z_{2} T_{1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} & F_{-}=\frac{\omega_{1}}{z_{1}} R_{1} T_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{\omega_{2}}{z_{2}} R_{2} T_{1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
L=\frac{1}{2} z_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} z_{1}}+\frac{1}{2} z_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} z_{2}} & \tag{39}
\end{array}
$$

where $R_{j}=R_{z_{j}}, T_{j}=T_{z_{j}}$, and $z_{j}=\mathrm{e}^{i \theta_{j}}$. In order to satisfy relations (38) we require that $z_{1} z_{2}=-z_{2} z_{1}$, i.e. that the variables $z_{1}, z_{2}$ anticommute.

Thus, if $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{+} F_{-} f=\lambda f \quad L f=\frac{h}{2} f \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

it should have the form $f[z, t]=t^{h} g_{h}[z]$ where

$$
\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2} z q^{1 / 2}\left(-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{h}\right)\left(\omega_{1}(-1)^{h}+\frac{\left.\omega_{2}\left(-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{h}\right)}{z q^{1 / 2}}\right) g_{h}[-z q]=\lambda g_{h}[z]
$$

and $z=z_{2} z_{1}^{-1}, t=z_{1}$. Note that $z t=-t z$.

Just as in [16], there is an infinite parameter family of bases associated with the eigenvalue problem. We shall focus on those two that are the simplest in structure. The first corresponds to the basis of eigenvectors $f_{k h}^{(1)}=t^{h} g_{h}^{(1)}[z]$

$$
g_{h}^{(1)}[z]=i^{h k} \frac{\left((-1)^{h+1} q^{(1-h) / 2} \omega_{2} z / \omega_{1} ;-q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{(1-h) / 2} \omega_{2} / \omega_{1} z ;-q\right)_{\infty}} z^{k} \quad k=0, \pm 1, \ldots
$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}^{(1)}=\omega_{1}^{2}(-q)^{k}(-1)^{h}$. The second has a basis of eigenvectors

$$
g_{h}^{(2)}[z]=i^{h k} \frac{\left(-q^{(1+h) / 2} \omega_{1} z / \omega_{2} ;-q\right)_{\infty}}{\left((-1)^{h} q^{(1+h) / 2} \omega_{1} / \omega_{2} z ;-q\right)_{\infty}} z^{h+k} \quad k=0, \pm 1, \ldots
$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_{h}^{(2)}=\omega_{2}^{2}(-q)^{k}(-1)^{h}$. Setting $f_{k h}^{(j)}[z, t]=g_{h}^{(j)}[z] t^{h}, j=1,2$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+} f_{k h}^{(j)}=\omega_{j}\left(-i q^{1 / 2}\right)^{k} f_{k, h+1}^{(j)}  \tag{41}\\
& F_{-} f_{k h}^{(j)}=\omega_{j}\left(-i q^{1 / 2}\right)^{k}(-1)^{h} f_{k, h-1}^{(j)}  \tag{42}\\
& L f_{k h}^{(j)}=\frac{h}{2} f_{k h}^{(j)} \quad C f_{k h}^{(j)}=\omega_{j}^{2}(-q)^{k}(-1)^{h} f_{k h}^{(j)} . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\left(\omega_{1}\right) \otimes_{q}^{j}\left(\omega_{2}\right) \equiv \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \oplus\left(\omega_{j}(i q)^{k / 2}\right)
$$

i.e. in each case the tensor product decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible representations.

In a similar manner we can use the adjoint operators to (39) and compute the resolution of the tensor product representation of the factors:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{+}^{\prime}=-\overline{\omega_{1}} z_{1} R_{1} T_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}-\overline{\omega_{2}} z_{2} R_{2} T_{1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} & F_{-}^{\prime}=\frac{\overline{\omega_{1}}}{z_{1}} T_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{\overline{\omega_{2}}}{z_{2}} T_{1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
L^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2} z_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} z_{1}}+\frac{1}{2} z_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} z_{2}} . \tag{44}
\end{array}
$$

If $f^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{+}^{\prime} F_{-}^{\prime} f^{\prime}=\lambda^{\prime} f^{\prime} \quad L^{\prime} f^{\prime}=\frac{h}{2} f^{\prime} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

it should have the form $f^{\prime}[z, t]=t^{h} g_{h}^{\prime}[z]$ where

$$
\left(\overline{\omega_{1}}-\overline{\omega_{2}} z q^{1 / 2}\left(-q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{h}\right)\left(\overline{\omega_{1}}(-1)^{h}-\frac{\left.\overline{\omega_{2}}\left(q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{h}\right)}{z q^{1 / 2}}\right) g_{h}^{\prime}[-z q]=\lambda g_{h}^{\prime}[z]
$$

and $z=z_{2} z_{1}^{-1}, t=z_{1}$.
Again there is an infinite parameter family of bases associated with the eigenvalue problem, but two have the simplest structure. The first corresponds to the basis of eigenvectors $f_{k h}^{\prime(1)}=t^{h} g^{\prime(1)}[z]$ :

$$
g_{h}^{\prime(1)}[z]=i^{h k} \frac{\left(q^{(1-h) / 2} \overline{\omega_{2}} z / \overline{\omega_{1}} ;-q\right)_{\infty}}{\left((-1)^{h+1} q^{(1-h) / 2} \overline{\omega_{2}} / \overline{\omega_{1}} z ;-q\right)_{\infty}} z^{k} \quad k=0, \pm 1, \ldots
$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}^{\prime(1)}={\overline{\omega_{1}}}^{2}(-q)^{k}(-1)^{h}$. The second has a basis of eigenvectors

$$
g_{h}^{\prime(2)}[z]=i^{h k} \frac{\left((-1)^{h+1} q^{(1+h) / 2} \overline{\omega_{1}} z / \overline{\omega_{2}} ;-q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q^{(1+h) / 2} \overline{\omega_{1}} / \overline{\omega_{2}} z ;-q\right)_{\infty}} z^{h+k} \quad k=0, \pm 1, \ldots
$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_{h}^{\prime(2)}={\overline{\omega_{2}}}^{2}(-q)^{k}(-1)^{h}$. Setting $f^{\prime}{ }_{k h}^{(j)}[z, t]=g_{h}^{\prime(j)}[z] t^{h}, j=1$, 2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+}^{\prime} f_{k h}^{\prime(j)}=\overline{\omega_{j}}\left(i q^{1 / 2}\right)^{k}(-1)^{h+1} f_{k, h+1}^{\prime(j)}  \tag{46}\\
& F_{-}^{\prime} f_{k h}^{\prime(j)}=\overline{\omega_{j}}\left(i q^{1 / 2}\right)^{k} f_{k, h-1}^{\prime(j)}  \tag{47}\\
& L^{\prime} f_{k h}^{\prime(j)}=\frac{h}{2}{f^{\prime}}_{k h}^{(j)} \quad C f_{k h}^{\prime(j)}={\overline{\omega_{j}}}^{2}(-q)^{k}(-1)^{h} f_{k h}^{\prime(j)} . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\left(\overline{\omega_{1}}\right)^{\prime} \otimes_{q}^{j}\left(\overline{\omega_{2}}\right)^{\prime} \equiv \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \oplus\left(\overline{\omega_{j}}(i q)^{k / 2}\right)^{\prime}
$$

The functions $\left\{f_{k^{\prime} h^{\prime}}^{\prime(j)}\right\}$ form dual bases for the functions $\left\{f_{k h}^{(j)}\right\}, j=1,2$. In particular, the biorthogonality relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f_{k h}^{(j)}, f_{k^{\prime} h^{\prime}}^{\prime(j)}\right\rangle=\delta_{k k^{\prime}} \delta_{h h^{\prime}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied.
The Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the tensor product corresponding to the spectral resolution (3) are defined by
$f_{k h}^{(1)}[z, t]=f_{k h}^{(1)}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=\sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\begin{array}{lll}\omega_{1} & \omega_{2} & k \\ n_{1} & n_{2} & h\end{array}\right]^{(1)} f_{n_{1}}^{\omega_{1}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i \theta_{1}}\right) \otimes_{q}^{1} f_{n_{2}}^{\omega_{2}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i \theta_{2}}\right)$.
Clearly, these coefficients vanish unless $h=n_{1}+n_{2}$. Note that the tensor product basis is $z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}=(-1)^{n_{2}\left(n_{2}+1\right) / 2} t^{n_{1}+n_{2}} z^{n_{2}}$. There is a similar expansion for the dual basis:
${f^{\prime}}_{k h}^{\prime(1)}[z, t]=f_{k h}^{\prime(1)}\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)=\sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\begin{array}{lll}\overline{\omega_{1}} & \overline{\omega_{2}} & k \\ n_{1} & n_{2} & h\end{array}\right]^{\prime(1)} f_{n_{1}}^{\overline{\omega_{1}}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i \theta_{1}}\right) \otimes_{q}^{1} f_{n_{2}}^{\overline{\omega_{2}}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i \theta_{2}}\right)$.
The biorthogonality of the two bases implies the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n_{1}, n_{2}}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\omega_{1} & \omega_{2} & k \\
n_{1} & n_{2} & h
\end{array}\right]^{*(1)}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{\omega_{1}} & \overline{\omega_{2}} & k^{\prime} \\
n_{1} & n_{2} & h
\end{array}\right]^{\prime(1)}=\delta_{k k^{\prime}} \\
& \sum_{k}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\omega_{1} & \omega_{2} & k \\
n_{1} & n_{2} & h
\end{array}\right]^{*(1)}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\overline{\omega_{1}} & \overline{\omega_{2}} & k \\
n_{1}^{\prime} & n_{2}^{\prime} & h
\end{array}\right]^{\prime(1)}=\delta_{n_{1} n_{1}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n_{1}+n_{2}=n_{1}^{\prime}+n_{2}^{\prime}=h$ and $a^{*}$ is the complex conjugate of $a$. Explicitly

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\omega_{1} & \omega_{2} & k \\
n_{1} & n_{2} & h
\end{array}\right]^{(1)}(-1)^{n_{2}\left(n_{2}+1\right) / 2}(-i)^{h k} } \\
&= \frac{\left((-1)^{h} a_{k}\right)^{n_{2}-k} p^{\left(n_{2}-k\right)\left(n_{2}-k-1\right) / 2}}{(p ; p)_{n_{2}-k}} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
p^{n_{2}-k+1}
\end{array} ; p ;(-1)^{h+1} a_{k}^{2} p^{n_{2}-k}\right) \\
&-\sum_{\ell=0}^{s_{h}-1} \frac{\left(p^{\ell} a_{h}^{2} ; p\right)_{\infty} p^{\ell(\ell-1) / 2}\left(-q^{\ell} a_{h}\right)^{k-n_{2}}(-1)^{\ell}}{(p ; p)_{\infty}(p ; p)_{\ell}} \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p=-q, h=n_{1}+n_{2}, a_{h}=q^{(1-h) / 2} \omega_{2} / \omega_{1}$ and $s_{h} \geqslant 0$ is the smallest integer such that $q^{s_{h}} a_{h}<1$. (We assume that $a_{h} \neq q^{n}$ for any integer $n$.) Indeed the case $s_{h}=0$ of (52) follows from (50) and this result can be written as a complex contour integral. The case $s_{h}>0$ can be obtained from this result by shifting the contour.

Similarly the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the tensor product corresponding to the first dual basis are given explicitly by (52), where $a_{h}$ is now replaced by $a_{h}^{\prime}=$ $(-1)^{h+1} q^{(1-h) / 2} \overline{\omega_{2}} / \overline{\omega_{1}}$.

With respect to the tensor product basis $\left\{f_{n_{1}}^{\omega_{1}} \otimes f_{n_{2}}^{\omega_{2}}\right\}$ the operator $e_{q}\left(\beta F_{+}\right) E_{q}\left(\alpha F_{-}\right)$has matrix elements
$T_{m_{1} m_{2} ; n_{1} n_{2}}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\langle e_{p}\left(\beta \Delta\left(V_{+}\right)\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha \Delta\left(V_{-}\right)\right) f_{n_{1}}^{\omega_{1}} \otimes f_{n_{2}}^{\omega_{2}}, f_{m_{1}}^{\omega_{1}} \otimes f_{m_{2}}^{\omega_{2}}\right\rangle$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \frac{1}{2}\left[T_{m_{1} n_{1}}^{(e+, E-)}\left(\alpha q^{m_{2}}, \beta q^{m_{2}}\right) T_{m_{2} n_{2}}^{(e+, E-)}\left(\alpha p^{-n_{1}}, \beta p^{-n_{1}}\right)\right. \\
& +T_{m_{1} n_{1}}^{(e+, E-)}\left(\alpha q^{m_{2}}, \beta q^{m_{2}}\right) T_{m_{2} n_{2}}^{(e+, E-)}\left(\alpha p^{-n_{1}},-\beta p^{-n_{1}}\right) \\
& +T_{m_{1} n_{1}}^{(e+, E-)}\left(-\alpha q^{m_{2}}, \beta q^{m_{2}}\right) T_{m_{2} n_{2}}^{(e+, E-)}\left(\alpha p^{-n_{1}}, \beta p^{-n_{1}}\right) \\
& \left.-T_{m_{1} n_{1}}^{(e+, E-)}\left(-\alpha q^{m_{2}}, \beta q^{m_{2}}\right) T_{m_{2} n_{2}}^{(e+, E-)}\left(\alpha p^{-n_{1}},-\beta p^{-n_{1}}\right)\right] . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{p}\left(\beta F_{+}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha F_{-}\right)=e_{p}\left(\beta V_{+} \otimes q^{H}\right) e_{p}\left(\beta q^{-H} \otimes V_{+}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha V_{-} \otimes q^{H}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha q^{-H} \otimes V_{-}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} e_{p}\left(\beta V_{+} \otimes q^{H}\right)\left(E_{p}(B) e_{p}(A)+E_{p}(B) e_{p}(-A)+E_{p}(-B) e_{p}(A)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-E_{p}(-B) e_{p}(-A)\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha q^{-H} \otimes V_{-}\right) \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B=\alpha V_{-} \otimes q^{H}, A=\beta q^{-H} \otimes V_{+}, A B=-B A$, and we have used the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{m n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+(-1)^{m}+(-1)^{n}-(-1)^{m+n}\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients we see immediately that the identities

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{m_{1} m_{2} ; n_{1} n_{2}}(\alpha, \beta) & =\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{\omega_{1}} & \overline{\omega_{2}} & k \\
n_{1} & n_{2} & n_{1}+n_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{\prime(j) *} T_{m_{1}+m_{2}, n_{1}+n_{2}}^{(e+, E-), \omega_{j}(i q)^{k / 2}}(\alpha, \beta) \\
& \times\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\omega_{1} & \omega_{2} & k \\
m_{1} & m_{2} & m_{1}+m_{2}
\end{array}\right]^{(j)} \quad j=1,2 \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

must hold.

## 4. Representations of the $q$-supersymmetric algebras $[v, u]$

We consider the family of algebraically irreducible representations (bounded below) $\uparrow \lambda$, of the $q$-superalgebra $[-u, t]$, where $t>0, u q^{-4 \lambda},-u q^{-4 \lambda}$, defined as follows [4]. A convenient orthonormal basis for the representation space is $\left\{e_{n}: n=0,1, \ldots\right\}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{-} e_{n}=\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{n}\right)\left(t q^{2 \lambda-n+1}+u(-1)^{n} q^{-2 \lambda}\right)}{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{n-1} \\
& V_{+} e_{n}=-\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{n+1}\right)\left(t q^{2 \lambda-n}-u(-1)^{n} q^{-2 \lambda}\right)}{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{n+1}  \tag{57}\\
& H e_{n}=\left(-\lambda+\frac{1}{2} n\right) e_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

We have $V_{+}=-\left(V_{-}\right)^{*}$ and $H^{*}=H$. The 'Casimir' operator (5) has the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
C e_{n}=(-1)^{n} \mu e_{n} \quad \mu=\frac{-u q^{2 \lambda}}{1+q}+\frac{t q^{-2 \lambda}}{1+q^{-1}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $C^{2}=\mu^{2} I$ is a multiple of the identity operator.
In analogy with [4], we define the following $q$-analogue of matrix elements of $\uparrow_{\lambda}$ :
$e_{-q}\left(\beta V_{-}\right) E_{-q}\left(\alpha V_{+}\right) f_{n}=\sum_{n^{\prime}=0}^{\infty} T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e-, E+)}(\alpha, \beta) f_{n^{\prime}} \quad\left|q^{2 \lambda-n} \alpha \beta t /(1+q)\right|<1$
where $0<q<1$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Again the motivation for the choice of base $-q$ comes from the relations

$$
\left(V_{ \pm} \otimes q^{H}\right)\left(q^{-H} \otimes V_{ \pm}\right)=-q^{ \pm 1}\left(q^{-H} \otimes V_{ \pm}\right)\left(V_{ \pm} \otimes q^{H}\right)
$$

relevant for the coproduct. Subject only to the conditions on (59), the left-hand side of this expression is an analytic function of $z$, convergent in a neighbourhood of $z=0$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T e_{n}, e_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle=T_{n^{\prime} n} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the 'group' operators that we are considering are unbounded, it is not clear that relation (60) makes more than formal sense. However, by truncating the operator power series expressions for $e_{p}\left(\alpha V_{-}\right), E_{p}\left(\beta V_{+}\right)$at $N$ terms, we see that (60) holds rigorously for the truncated series. The final result follows in the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Using the model in [4] to compute the matrix elements (which are model independent) we obtain the explicit result

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e-, E+)}(\alpha, \beta) & =(-1)^{\left(n^{\prime}-n\right)\left(n^{\prime}-n+1\right) / 2} \sqrt{\frac{(p ; p)_{n^{\prime}}\left((u / t) q^{-4 \lambda} ; p\right)_{n}}{(p ; p)_{n}\left((u / t) q^{-4 \lambda} ; p\right)_{n^{\prime}}}} \\
& \times\left(\sqrt{\frac{t}{1+q}} \alpha\right)^{n^{\prime}-n} q^{\left(n^{\prime}-n\right)\left(7 \lambda+n^{\prime}-3 n-1\right) / 4} \frac{\left(\frac{(-1)^{n} u}{t} q^{n-4 \lambda} ; p\right)_{n^{\prime}-n}}{(p ; p)_{n^{\prime}-n}} \\
& \times{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
p^{n^{\prime}+1}, \\
p^{n^{\prime}-n+1}
\end{array} \quad \frac{u}{t}(-1)^{n} q^{n^{\prime}-4 \lambda} ; p ; \frac{(-1)^{n-n^{\prime}+1} \alpha \beta t}{q^{n-2 \lambda}(1+q)}\right) \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|q^{2 \lambda-n} \alpha \beta t /(1+q)\right|<1$.
These results make sense for $n>n^{\prime}$ as well as $n^{\prime} \geqslant n$. Indeed, for $k>0$ we have
$\lim _{m \rightarrow-k} \frac{1}{(p ; p)_{m}}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}A \\ p^{m+1} & B\end{array} ; p, C\right)=\frac{(A, B ; p)_{k} C^{k}}{(p ; p)_{k}}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}A p^{k} & B p^{k} \\ p^{k+1} & \end{array} \quad ;, C\right)$.
We also consider a second family of algebraically irreducible representations (bounded above) $\downarrow_{\xi}$, of the $q$-superalgebra $[v, u]$, where $v>0, u q^{4 \xi-1},-u q^{4 \xi}$, defined as follows. A convenient orthonormal basis for the representation space is $\left\{j_{m}: m=0,1, \ldots\right\}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{-} j_{m}=(-1)^{m+1}\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{m+1}\right)\left(v q^{-2 \xi-m}+u(-1)^{m} q^{2 \xi}\right)}{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} j_{m+1} \\
& V_{+} j_{m}=(-1)^{m+1}\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{m}\right)\left(v q^{-2 \xi-m+1}-u(-1)^{m} q^{2 \xi}\right)}{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} j_{m-1}  \tag{63}\\
& H j_{m}=\left(-\xi-\frac{1}{2} m\right) j_{m} .
\end{align*}
$$

We have $V_{+}=-\left(V_{-}\right)^{*}$ and $H^{*}=H$.

For this representation we consider the matrix elements

$$
\begin{align*}
(e-, E+): & e_{p}\left(\beta V_{-}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha V_{+}\right) j_{m}=\sum_{m^{\prime}} S_{m^{\prime} m}^{(\xi)}(\alpha, \beta) j_{m^{\prime}} . \\
S_{m^{\prime} m}^{(\xi)}(\alpha, \beta)= & \beta^{m^{\prime}-m} q^{\left(m^{\prime}-m\right)\left(-4 \xi-m^{\prime}-m+1\right) / 4} \frac{\left((-u / v) p^{m} q^{4 \xi} ; p\right)_{m^{\prime}-m}}{(p ; p)_{m^{\prime}-m}} \\
& \times(-1)^{\left(m^{\prime}-m\right)\left(m^{\prime}+m+1\right) / 2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{v}{1+q}\right)^{m^{\prime}-m} \frac{(p ; p)_{m^{\prime}}\left(-(u / v) q^{4 \xi} ; p\right)_{m}}{(p ; p)_{m}\left(-(u / v) q^{4 \xi} ; p\right)_{m^{\prime}}}}  \tag{64}\\
& \times\left[\frac{1-i}{2}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
p^{p^{\prime}-m}, \\
p^{m^{\prime}-m+1}
\end{array}(v / u) q^{-4 \xi+1} p^{-m} ; p ; \frac{-\alpha \beta i u}{q^{-2 \xi-m}(1+q)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1+i}{2}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
p^{-m}, \\
p^{m^{\prime}-m+1}
\end{array}(v / u) q^{-4 \xi+1} p^{-m} ; p ; \frac{\alpha \beta i u}{q^{-2 \xi-m}(1+q)}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we form the tensor product representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\downarrow_{\xi}[v, u] \otimes \uparrow_{\lambda}[-u, t] \cong[v, t] \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $[v, t]$. Rather than define the operators determining the tensor product in the form (7), we will use the equivalent definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{ \pm}=V_{ \pm} \otimes q^{H}+(-1)^{2 H^{\prime}} q^{-H} \otimes V_{ \pm} \quad L=H \otimes I+I \otimes H \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A \otimes B) j_{m} \otimes e_{n}=A j_{m} \otimes B e_{n} \quad 2 H^{\prime} j_{m}=(-1)^{m} j_{m} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this definition the variables commute in our functional models. The invariant operator is

$$
C=F_{+} F_{-}+\frac{v}{1+q} q^{2 L}+\frac{t}{1+q^{-1}} q^{-2 L} .
$$

To decompose this representation we compute the common eigenfunctions of $L$ and $C$. Clearly, eigenfunctions of $L$ with eigenvalue $-\lambda-\xi+\alpha, \alpha \geqslant 0$ are just those linear combinations of the basis vectors $J_{m}^{\alpha}=j_{m} \otimes e_{n}$ where $n=2 \alpha+m, m=0,1, \ldots$. For $\alpha<0$, they are linear combinations of the basis vectors $J_{m}^{\alpha}=j_{m} \otimes e_{n}$ where $n=2 \alpha+m, n=0,1, \ldots$ Taking the case $\alpha \geqslant 0$ and applying $C$ to the ON set $\left\{J_{m}^{\alpha}\right\}$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{(1+q)}{\sqrt{t v q}} C J_{m}^{\alpha} & =\left[\left(1-p^{m+1}\right)\left(1-p^{2 \alpha+m+1}\right)\left(1+\frac{u}{v} p^{m} q^{4 \xi}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(1-\frac{u}{t}(-1)^{2 \alpha} q^{-4 \lambda+2 \alpha} p^{m}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{m+1}^{\alpha} \\
& +\left[\left(1-p^{m}\right)\left(1-p^{2 \alpha+m}\right)\left(1+\frac{u}{v} p^{m-1} q^{4 \xi}\right)\left(1+\frac{u}{t} p^{m+2 \alpha} q^{-4 \lambda-1}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{m-1}^{\alpha} \\
& -\frac{1}{\sqrt{v t}}\left\{t q^{2 \lambda+2 \xi-2 \alpha-\frac{1}{2}}+v q^{-2 \xi-2 \lambda+2 \alpha-\frac{1}{2}}\right. \\
& -q^{-2 \lambda+2 \alpha-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-p^{m+1}\right)\left(v q^{-2 \xi}+u p^{m} q^{2 \xi}\right) \\
& \left.-q^{2 \xi-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-p^{m+2 \alpha}\right)\left(t q^{2 \lambda-2 \alpha}+u(-1)^{2 \alpha} p^{m} q^{-2 \lambda}\right)\right\} J_{m}^{\alpha} \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

The operator $C$ is self-adjoint. If we introduce the spectral transform of this operator so that $C$ corresponds to multiplication by the transform variable $x$, then (68) takes the form of a three-term recurrence relation for orthogonal polynomials $J_{m}^{\alpha}(x)$ of order $m$ in $x$. Indeed, comparing (68) with the three-term recurrence relation for the continuous Askey-Wilson polynomials [6, page 173]
$p_{m}(x) \equiv p_{m}(x ; a, b, c, d \mid Q)$

$$
=(a b, a c, a d ; Q)_{m} a^{-m}{ }_{4} \phi_{3}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
Q^{-m}, & a b c d Q^{m-1}, & a \mathrm{e}^{i \theta},  \tag{69}\\
a b, & a c, & a d
\end{array} \quad ; Q, Q\right)
$$

we get a match with $Q=p$
$a=\sqrt{\frac{v q}{t}} q^{-2 \lambda-2 \xi+2 \alpha} \quad b=-\sqrt{\frac{t q}{v}} q^{2 \lambda+2 \xi}(-1)^{2 \alpha} \quad c=\frac{u}{\sqrt{v t q}} q^{-2 \lambda+2 \xi}(-1)^{2 \alpha}$
$d=0$, and $C \sim-2 x \sqrt{v t q} /(1+q)$. Making the identification

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{m}^{\alpha}(x, s)= \frac{\left[\frac{\left(p^{m+1}, a b p^{m}, a c p^{m}, b c p^{m} ; p\right)_{\infty}}{2 \pi}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} p_{m}(x ; a, b, c, 0) s^{2 \alpha}}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{v}{t}} q^{-2 \lambda-2 \xi+2 \alpha+\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{i \theta},-\sqrt{\frac{t}{v}} q^{2 \lambda+2 \xi+\frac{1}{2}}(-1)^{2 \alpha} \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}, \frac{u}{\sqrt{v t}} q^{-2 \lambda+2 \xi-\frac{1}{2}}(-1)^{2 \alpha} \mathrm{e}^{i \theta} ; p\right)_{\infty}} \\
& \quad=K_{m}^{\alpha}(x) s^{2 \alpha} \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s=\mathrm{e}^{i \phi}$ and $x=\cos \theta$, we can verify that (68) holds, as well as the orthogonality relations
$\left\langle J_{m}^{\alpha}, J_{m^{\prime}}^{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\delta_{m, m^{\prime}} \delta_{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}}$
$\langle f, g\rangle=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \rho(x) \mathrm{d} x \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \phi f(x, s) \overline{g(x, s)}$
$\rho(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{i \theta}, \mathrm{e}^{-i \theta},-\mathrm{e}^{i \theta},-\mathrm{e}^{-i \theta}, p^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}, p^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-i \theta},-p^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{i \theta},-p^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i \theta} ; p\right)_{\infty}$.
For $\alpha=-\beta, \beta=0,1, \ldots$, the expression for $J_{m}^{\alpha}(x, s)$ can be obtained by analytic continuation and a standard limiting procedure, analogous to (62).

It is straightforward, though tedious, to verify that in terms of the new variables $r=\mathrm{e}^{i \theta}, s$ the action of the operators $F_{ \pm}, L$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+}=\frac{s}{\sqrt{1+q}}\left(-\sqrt{t} q^{\lambda+\xi} T_{s}^{-1 / 2}-\sqrt{v} r q^{-\xi-\lambda+\frac{1}{2}} T_{s}^{1 / 2}\right) R_{r} \\
& F_{-}=\frac{1}{s \sqrt{1+q}}\left(\sqrt{t} q^{\lambda+\xi+\frac{1}{2}} T_{s}^{-1 / 2}-\frac{\sqrt{v}}{r} q^{\xi-\lambda} T_{s}^{1 / 2}\right) R_{r}  \tag{72}\\
& L=-\xi-\lambda+\frac{1}{2} s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \quad C=-\frac{\sqrt{v t q}}{1+q}\left(r+\frac{1}{r}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $R_{r} g(r)=g(-r)$.
Applying these operators to the basis $f_{h}^{ \pm x_{0}}(x, s)=\left(s^{h} / \sqrt{\rho(x)}\right) \delta\left(x \mp x_{0}\right)$, we see that the algebra action becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+} f_{h}^{ \pm x_{0}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+q}}\left(-\sqrt{t} q^{\lambda+\xi-h / 2}-\sqrt{v} r q^{-\xi-\lambda+(h+1) / 2}\right) f_{h+1}^{\mp x_{0}} \\
& F_{-} f_{h}^{ \pm x_{0}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+q}}\left(\sqrt{t} q^{\lambda+\xi+(1-h) / 2}-\frac{\sqrt{v}}{r} q^{\xi-\lambda+h / 2}\right) f_{h-1}^{\mp x_{0}}  \tag{73}\\
& L f_{h}^{ \pm x_{0}}=\left(-\xi-\lambda+\frac{h}{2}\right) f_{h}^{ \pm x_{0}} \quad C=\mp 2 x_{0} \frac{\sqrt{v t q}}{1+q}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x_{0} \geqslant 0$. Thus the algebra action preserves $|x|$ and decomposes the representation space for fixed $|x|=x_{0}$ into the direct sum of two irreducible representations. The basis vector $f_{h}^{x}$ belongs to the space of the irreducible representation $(\lambda+\xi,|x|)$ if $(-1)^{h} x>0$, and to the space of the irreducible representation $(\lambda+\xi,-|x|)$ if $(-1)^{h} x<0$. (We ignore the measure 0 case $x=0$ ).

For the reduced tensor product we consider the matrix elements

$$
\begin{align*}
(e-, E+): & e_{p}\left(\beta F_{-}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha F_{+}\right) f_{h}^{x}=\sum_{h^{\prime}} U_{h^{\prime} h}^{(-1)^{h+h^{\prime}} x}(\alpha, \beta) f_{h^{\prime}}^{(-1)^{h+h^{\prime}} x}  \tag{74}\\
U_{h^{\prime} h}^{x}(\alpha, \beta)= & \left(\frac{\beta \sqrt{v}}{r \sqrt{1+q}}\right)^{h-h^{\prime}} q^{\left(h-h^{\prime}\right)\left(h+h^{\prime}+1\right) / 4} \frac{(-1)^{\left(h-h^{\prime}\right)\left(h-h^{\prime}+1\right) / 2}}{q^{\mu\left(h-h^{\prime}\right)}(p ; p)_{h-h^{\prime}}} \\
& \times\left((-1)^{1-h^{\prime}} \sqrt{\frac{t}{v}} r q^{2 \mu+\frac{1}{2}} p^{-h} ; p\right)_{h-h^{\prime}} \\
& \times\left[\frac{1-i}{2}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{(-1)^{h^{\prime}}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{v q}{t}} q^{-2 \mu} p^{h}, \quad(-1)^{h^{\prime}} \sqrt{\frac{v q}{t}} r q^{-2 \mu} p^{h} \\
p^{h-h^{\prime}+1}
\end{array} ; p ; \frac{-\alpha \beta q^{2 \mu} i t}{q^{h}(1+q)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1+i}{2}{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{(-1)^{h^{\prime}}}{r} \sqrt{\frac{v q}{t}} q^{-2 \mu} p^{h}, \quad(-1)^{h^{\prime}} \sqrt{\frac{v q}{t}} r q^{-2 \mu} p^{h} \\
p^{h-h^{\prime}+1}
\end{array} ; p ; \frac{\alpha \beta q^{2 \mu} i t}{q^{h}(1+q)}\right)\right] \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu=\lambda+\xi$ and $x=\left(r+r^{-1}\right) / 2$.
Comparing (66), (71), (73), we have proved the direct integral decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\downarrow_{\xi}[v, u] \otimes \uparrow_{\lambda}[-u, t] \cong \int_{0}^{1} \rho(x)\{(\lambda+\xi, x) \oplus(\lambda+\xi,-x)\} \mathrm{d} x \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $J_{m}^{h / 2}(x, s)$ are, essentially, the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for this decomposition; the orthogonality and completeness relations for the corresponding AskeyWilson polynomials are the unitarity conditions for the CG coefficients.

The decomposition (76) can be used to obtain an identity relating the matrix elements (61), (64) and (75). We can compute the matrix element

$$
T_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}, m n}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\langle e_{p}\left(\beta F_{-}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha F_{+}\right) j_{m} \otimes e_{n}, j_{m^{\prime}} \otimes e_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle
$$

in two different ways. On one hand we have the integral representation

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}, m n}(\alpha, \beta) & =\left\langle e_{p}\left(\beta F_{-}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha F_{+}\right) J_{m}^{h / 2}, J_{m^{\prime}}^{h^{\prime} / 2}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle U_{h^{\prime} h}^{(\cdot)}(\alpha, \beta) K_{m}^{h / 2}\left((-1)^{h+h^{\prime}} \cdot\right), K_{m^{\prime}}^{h^{\prime} / 2}(\cdot)\right\rangle \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{m}^{h / 2}(x, s)=K_{m}^{h / 2}(x) s^{h}$, and $n=h+m, n^{\prime}=h^{\prime}+m^{\prime}$. On the other hand, from relations (7), (22) and (55) we have the formal identity

$$
\begin{gather*}
e_{p}\left(\beta F_{-}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha F_{+}\right)=e_{p}\left(\beta V_{-} \otimes q^{H}\right) e_{p}\left(\beta q^{-H} \otimes V_{-}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha V_{+} \otimes q^{H}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha q^{-H} \otimes V_{+}\right) \\
=\frac{1}{2} e_{p}\left(\beta V_{-} \otimes q^{H}\right)\left(E_{p}(B) e_{p}(A)+E_{p}(B) e_{p}(-A)\right. \\
\left.\quad+E_{p}(-B) e_{p}(A)-E_{p}(-B) e_{p}(-A)\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha q^{-H} \otimes V_{+}\right) \tag{78}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $B=\alpha V_{+} \otimes q^{H}, A=\beta q^{-H} \otimes V_{-}, A B=-B A$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
2 T_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}, m n}(\alpha, \beta) & =S_{m^{\prime} m}^{(\xi)}\left(\alpha q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}, \beta q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}\right) T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e-, E+), \lambda}\left(\alpha(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2}, \beta(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2}\right) \\
& +S_{m^{\prime} m}^{(\xi)}\left(\alpha q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}, \beta q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}\right) T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e-, E+), \lambda}\left(\alpha(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2},-\beta(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2}\right) \\
& +S_{m^{\prime} m}^{(\xi)}\left(-\alpha q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}, \beta q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}\right) T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e-, E+), \lambda}\left(\alpha(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2}, \beta(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2}\right) \\
& -S_{m^{\prime} m}^{(\xi)}\left(-\alpha q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}, \beta q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}\right) T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e-, E+), \lambda}\left(\alpha(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2},-\beta(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2}\right) \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $T_{n^{\prime} m^{\prime}, n m}(\alpha, \beta)$ are the matrix elements of the operator $e_{p}\left(\beta F_{-}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha F_{+}\right)$ in the tensor product basis $\left\{j_{m} \otimes e_{n}\right\}$.

Next we consider a degenerate case of the tensor product with some special features, a representation of the $q$-supereuclidean algebra $[0,0]$ of the form $\downarrow_{\xi}^{\prime}[0, u] \otimes \uparrow_{\lambda}^{\prime}[-u, 0]$. Here the family of algebraically irreducible representations (bounded below) $\uparrow_{\lambda}^{\prime}$, of the $q$-superalgebra $[-u, 0]$, where $u>0$, is defined as follows. An orthogonal basis for the representation space is $\left\{e_{n}: n=0,1, \ldots\right\}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{-} e_{n}=\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{n}\right) u q^{-2 \lambda}}{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{n-1} \quad H e_{n}=\left(-\lambda+\frac{n}{2}\right) e_{n}  \tag{80}\\
& V_{+} e_{n}=\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{n+1}\right) u q^{-2 \lambda}}{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}(-1)^{n} e_{n+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

The representation space is a Hilbert space with indefinite inner product. Indeed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle e_{n}, e_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\delta_{n^{\prime}}(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} \quad n, n^{\prime}=0,1, \ldots \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $V_{+}=\left(V_{-}\right)^{*}, H^{*}=H$. We define $H^{\prime}=\lambda I+H$.
The following matrix elements of $\uparrow_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{-q}\left(\beta V_{-}\right) E_{-q}\left(\alpha V_{+}\right) e_{n}=\sum_{n^{\prime}=0}^{\infty}{T_{n^{\prime} n}^{\prime(e-, E+)}}_{(\alpha, \beta) e_{n^{\prime}}} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e-, E+)}(\alpha, \beta) & =q^{\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{\prime}-n\right)\left(n^{\prime}+n-1\right)} \alpha^{n^{\prime}-n}\left(\frac{u q^{-2 \lambda}}{1+q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{\prime}-n\right)} \frac{\sqrt{\left(p^{n+1} ; p\right)_{n^{\prime}-n}}}{(p ; p)_{n^{\prime}-n}} \\
& \times\left[\frac{1-i}{2}{ }_{1} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
p^{n^{\prime}+1} \\
p^{n^{\prime}-n+1}
\end{array} ; p ; \frac{-i q^{n^{\prime}} \alpha \beta u}{p^{n} q^{2 \lambda}(1+q)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1+i}{2}{ }_{1} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
p^{n^{\prime}+1} \\
p^{n^{\prime}-n+1}
\end{array} ; p ; \frac{i q^{n^{\prime}} \alpha \beta u}{p^{n} q^{2 \lambda}(1+q)}\right)\right] . \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T^{\prime} e_{n}, e_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle=T_{n^{\prime} n}^{\prime}(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also consider a second family of algebraically irreducible representations (bounded above) $\downarrow_{\xi}^{\prime}$, of the $q$-superalgebra $[0, u]$, where $u>0$, defined as follows. A convenient orthogonal basis for the representation space with indefinite inner product is $\left\{j_{m}: m=\right.$ $0,1, \ldots\}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle j_{m}, j_{m^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\delta_{m m^{\prime}}(-1)^{m(m+1) / 2} \quad m, m^{\prime}=0,1, \ldots \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{-} j_{m}=\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{m+1}\right) u q^{2 \xi}}{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} j_{m+1} \quad H j_{m}=-\left(\xi+\frac{m}{2}\right) j_{m} \\
& V_{+} j_{m}=\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{m}\right) u q^{2 \xi}}{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} j_{m-1} . \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

We have $V_{+}=\left(V_{-}\right)^{*}$ and $H^{*}=H$. We define $H^{\prime}=\xi I+H$.
For this representation we consider the matrix elements

$$
\begin{align*}
(e-, E+): & e_{p}\left(\beta V_{-}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha V_{+}\right) j_{m}=\sum_{m^{\prime}}{S^{\prime}}_{m^{\prime} m}^{(\xi)}(\alpha, \beta) j_{m^{\prime}} \\
S_{m^{\prime} m}^{\prime(\xi)}(\alpha, \beta)= & (\beta)^{m^{\prime}-m}\left(\frac{u q^{2 \xi}}{1+q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(m^{\prime}-m\right)} \frac{\sqrt{\left(p^{m+1} ; p\right)_{m^{\prime}-m}}}{(p ; p)_{m^{\prime}-m}}  \tag{87}\\
& \times{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{-m}, \quad 0 \\
p^{m^{\prime}-m+1} & ; p ; \frac{-\alpha \beta p^{m} u}{q^{-2 \xi}(1+q)}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we form the tensor product representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\downarrow_{\xi}^{\prime}[0, u] \otimes \uparrow_{\lambda}^{\prime}[-u, 0] \cong[0,0] \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $[0,0]$ using the definition (66). The invariant operator is $C=F_{+} F_{-}$. Note that $F_{+}^{*}=F_{-}$, $L^{*}=L$ and that a basis for the indefinite inner product space is $\left\{j_{m} \otimes e_{n}, m, n=0,1, \ldots\right\}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle j_{m} \otimes e_{n}, j_{m^{\prime}} \otimes e_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\left\langle j_{m}, j_{m^{\prime}}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{n}, e_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\delta_{m m^{\prime}} \delta_{n n^{\prime}}(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(m+n)(m-n+1)} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

To decompose this representation we compute the common eigenfunctions of $L$ and $C$. Clearly, eigenfunctions of $L$ with eigenvalue $-\lambda-\xi+\alpha, \alpha \geqslant 0$ are just those linear combinations of the basis vectors $J_{m}^{\alpha}=j_{m} \otimes e_{n}$ where $n=2 \alpha+m, m=0,1, \ldots$. For $\alpha<0$, they are linear combinations of the basis vectors $J_{m}^{\alpha}=j_{m} \otimes e_{n}$ where $n=2 \alpha+m, n=0,1, \ldots$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|J_{m}^{\alpha}\right\|^{2} \equiv\left\langle J_{m}^{\alpha}, J_{m}^{\alpha}\right\rangle=\frac{(-1)^{[\alpha / 2]}}{2}\left[1-(-1)^{\alpha}+(-1)^{m}+(-1)^{\alpha+m}\right] \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the case $\alpha \geqslant 0$ and applying $C$ to the orthogonal set $\left\{J_{m}^{\alpha}\right\}$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{(1+q) q^{2(\lambda-\xi)}}{u} & C J_{m}^{\alpha}=(-1)^{\alpha} p^{m} q^{\alpha / 2+\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left(1-p^{m+1}\right)\left(1-p^{\alpha+m+1}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{m+1}^{\alpha} \\
& +p^{m} q^{\alpha / 2-\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left(1-p^{m}\right)\left(1-p^{\alpha+m}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{m-1}^{\alpha} \\
& -p^{m}\left[q^{\alpha}\left(i-p^{m+1}\right)+(-1)^{\alpha}\left(1-p^{\alpha+m}\right)\right] J_{m}^{\alpha} \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

The operator $C$ is self-adjoint. If we introduce the spectral transform of this operator so that $C$ corresponds to multiplication by the transform variable $x$, then (91) takes the form of a three-term recurrence relation for orthogonal polynomials $J_{m}^{\alpha}(x)$ of order $m$ in $x$. Indeed, comparing (91) with the three-term recurrence relation for the continuous Askey-Wilson polynomials we see that we get a match for a special case of the little $q$-Jacobi polynomials

$$
p_{n}(x ; a, b ; Q)={ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
Q^{-n}, & a b Q^{n+1}  \tag{92}\\
a Q
\end{array} \quad ; Q, x Q\right)
$$

where $Q=p, a=p^{\alpha}$ and $C \sim x u q^{2(\xi-\lambda)}(-1)^{\alpha+1} /(1+q)$. Making the identification

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{m}^{\alpha}(x, s)=\left[\frac{q^{-m(\alpha+1)}\left(p^{\alpha+1} ; p\right)_{\infty}\left(p^{\alpha+1} ; p\right)_{m}}{(p ; p)_{m}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} p_{m}\left(x ; p^{\alpha}, 0 ; p\right) s^{\alpha} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J_{m}^{\alpha}, J_{m}^{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\delta_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{(-1)^{[\alpha / 2]}}{2}\left[1-(-1)^{\alpha}+(-1)^{m}+(-1)^{\alpha+m}\right] \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f(x) s^{\alpha}, g(x) s^{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle \equiv \delta_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}(-1)^{\alpha(\alpha-1) / 2} \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{y(\alpha+1)}}{(p ; p)_{y}} f\left(p^{y}\right) g\left(p^{y}\right) \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

For fixed odd integer $\alpha$, this defines a true inner product for little $q$-Jacobi polynomials; for even $\alpha$ it is just a bilinear product since the discrete measure can be negative. It is not dificult to verify that on this basis the superalgebra acts as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+}=q^{\xi-\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{u}{q+1}} s R_{s} \quad F_{-}=q^{\xi-\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{u}{q+1}} \frac{x}{s}  \tag{96}\\
& L=-(\xi+\lambda)+\frac{1}{2} s \partial_{s}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{s} G(s)=G(-s)$. For fixed $x$ this representation is equivalent to the representation $(\omega)=\left(q^{\xi-\lambda} \sqrt{x u /(q+1)}\right)$ of $[0,0]$, as studied in section 2 . Thus we have derived the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\downarrow_{\xi}^{\prime}[0, u] \otimes \uparrow_{\lambda}^{\prime}[-u, 0] \cong \oplus \sum_{y=0}^{\infty}\left(q^{\xi-\lambda} \sqrt{\frac{p^{y} u}{q+1}}\right)[0,0] \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, the functions $J_{m}^{h}(x, s)$ are essentially the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for this decomposition; the orthogonality and completeness relations for the corresponding little $p$-Jacobi polynomials are the unitarity conditions for the CG coefficients. Also, the decomposition (97) can be used to obtain an identity relating the matrix elements (83), (87) and the adjoint of (30). We can compute the matrix element

$$
T_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}, m n}^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\langle e_{p}\left(\beta F_{-}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha F_{+}\right) j_{m} \otimes e_{n}, j_{m^{\prime}} \otimes e_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle
$$

in two different ways. On one hand we have the representation

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}, m n}^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta) & =\left\langle e_{p}\left(\beta F_{-}\right) E_{p}\left(\alpha F_{+}\right) J_{m}^{h}, J_{m^{\prime}}^{h^{\prime}}\right\rangle \\
& \left.=\left\langle U_{h^{\prime} h}^{\prime(\cdot)}(\alpha, \beta) K_{m}^{h}(\cdot), K_{m^{\prime}}^{h^{\prime}} \cdot\right)\right\rangle \tag{98}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left.J_{m}^{h}(x, s)=K_{m}^{h}(x) s^{h},{U^{\prime}}_{h^{\prime} h}^{\prime( }\right)(\alpha, \beta)=x^{\left(h-h^{\prime}\right) / 2} L_{h^{\prime} h}^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta), n=h+m, n^{\prime}=h^{\prime}+m^{\prime}$ and the $L^{\prime}$ are essentially the adoint matrix elements to (30):

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{h^{\prime} h}^{\prime(x)}(\alpha, \beta)= & \frac{\left(\beta q^{\xi-\lambda} \sqrt{u x /(1+q)}\right)^{h-h^{\prime}}}{(p ; p)_{h-h^{\prime}}}\left[{ }_{1} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
p^{h-h^{\prime}+1}
\end{array} ; p, \frac{i \alpha \beta u x(-1)^{h+1}}{q^{2 \lambda-2 \xi(1+q)}}\right) \frac{1-i}{2}\right. \\
& \left.+{ }_{1} \phi_{1}\binom{0}{p^{h-h^{\prime}+1} ; p,(-1)^{h} \frac{i \alpha \beta q^{2 \xi-2 \lambda} u x}{1+q}} \frac{1+i}{2}\right] . \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{align*}
2 T_{m^{\prime} n^{\prime}, m n}^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta) & =S_{m^{\prime} m}^{\prime(\xi)}\left(\alpha q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}, \beta q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}\right) T_{n^{\prime} n}^{\prime(e-, E+), \lambda}\left(\alpha(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2}, \beta(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2}\right) \\
& +S_{m^{\prime} m}^{\prime(\xi)}\left(\alpha q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}, \beta q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}\right) T_{n^{\prime} n}^{\prime(e-, E+), \lambda}\left(\alpha(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2},-\beta(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2}\right) \\
& +S_{m^{\prime} m}^{\prime(\xi)}\left(-\alpha q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}, \beta q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}\right) T_{n^{\prime} n}^{(e-E+), \lambda}\left(\alpha(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2}, \beta(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2}\right) \\
& -S_{m^{\prime} m}^{\prime(\xi)}\left(-\alpha q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}, \beta q^{-\lambda+n^{\prime} / 2}\right) \\
& \times T_{n^{\prime} n}^{\prime(e-E+), \lambda}\left(\alpha(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2},-\beta(-1)^{m} q^{\xi+m / 2}\right) \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

For our last example we consider another degenerate case of the tensor product with some special features, a representation of the $q$-superoscillator algebra $[0,-u]$ of the form $\omega^{\prime}[0,0] \otimes \uparrow^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\lambda}[0,-u]$. Here the family of algebraically irreducible representations (bounded below) $\uparrow^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\lambda}$, of the $q$-superalgebra $[0,-u]$, where $u>0$, is defined as follows. An orthonormal basis for the representation space is $\left\{e_{n}: n=0,1, \ldots\right\}$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{-} e_{n}=\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{n}\right) u q^{2 \lambda-n+1}}{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{n-1} \quad H e_{n}=\left(-\lambda+\frac{n}{2}\right) e_{n}  \tag{101}\\
& V_{+} e_{n}=\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{n+1}\right) u q^{2 \lambda-n}}{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{n+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

This Hilbert space representation satisfies $V_{+}=\left(V_{-}\right)^{*}, H^{*}=H$. We define $H^{\prime}=\lambda I+H$.
The following matrix elements of $\uparrow^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\lambda}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{-q}\left(\beta V_{-}\right) E_{-q}\left(\alpha V_{+}\right) e_{n}=\sum_{n^{\prime}=0}^{\infty} T_{n^{\prime} n}^{\prime(e-, E+)}(\alpha, \beta) e_{n^{\prime}} \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{n^{\prime} n}^{\prime \prime(e-, E+)}(\alpha, \beta) & =(-1)^{\left(n^{\prime}-n\right)\left(n^{\prime}-n+1\right) / 2} \sqrt{\frac{(p ; p)_{n^{\prime}}}{(p ; p)_{n}}}\left(-\sqrt{\frac{u}{1+q}} \alpha\right)^{n^{\prime}-n} \frac{q^{\left(n^{\prime}-n\right)\left(7 \lambda+n^{\prime}-3 n-1\right) / 4}}{(p ; p)_{n^{\prime}-n}} \\
& \times{ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p^{n^{\prime}+1}, & 0 \\
p^{n^{\prime}-n+1} & ; p ; \frac{(-1)^{n-n^{\prime}} \alpha \beta u}{q^{n-2 \lambda}(1+q)}
\end{array}\right) \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|q^{2 \lambda-n} \alpha \beta u /(1+q)\right|<1$.
The family of algebraically irreducible representations $\omega^{\prime}$, of the $q$-superalgebra $[0,0]$, is defined by the action (12) on the basis $\left\{f_{m}\right\}$, except that here we have an indefinite inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f_{m}, f_{m^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\delta_{m m^{\prime}}(-1)^{m(m+1) / 2} \quad m, m^{\prime}=0, \pm 1, \ldots \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $V_{+}=\left(V_{-}\right)^{*}$ and $H^{*}=H$. We define $H^{\prime}=H$. The matrix elements are given by (29).

Now we form the tensor product representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}[0,0] \otimes \uparrow_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i}[0,-u] \cong[0,-u] \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $[0,-u]$ using definition (66). The invariant operator is $C=F_{+} F_{-}-u q^{-2 L} /\left(1+q^{-1}\right)$. Note that $F_{+}^{*}=F_{-}, L^{*}=L$ and that a basis for the indefinite inner product space is $\left\{f_{m} \otimes e_{n}, n, \pm m=0,1, \ldots\right\}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f_{m} \otimes e_{n}, f_{m^{\prime}} \otimes e_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\left\langle f_{m}, f_{m^{\prime}}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{n}, e_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\delta_{m m^{\prime}} \delta_{n n^{\prime}}(-1)^{\frac{1}{2} m(m+1)} \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, to decompose this representation we compute the common eigenfunctions of $L$ and $C$. Clearly, eigenfunctions of $L$ with eigenvalue $-\lambda+\alpha / 2$ are just those linear combinations of the basis vectors $J_{n}^{\alpha}=f_{m} \otimes e_{n}$ where $m=\alpha-n, n=0,1, \ldots$ Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|J_{n}^{\alpha}\right\|^{2} \equiv\left\langle J_{n}^{\alpha}, J_{n}^{\alpha}\right\rangle=(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-n)(\alpha-n+1)} . \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $C$ to the orthogonal set $\left\{J_{n}^{\alpha}\right\}$ we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
C J_{n}^{\alpha}=-q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-\alpha+1)} \omega\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{n+1}\right) u}{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{n+1}^{\alpha}+(-1)^{n+\alpha} q^{\frac{1}{2}(n-\alpha)} \omega\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{n}\right) u}{1+q}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{n-1}^{\alpha} \\
+p^{n}\left[-q^{2 \lambda-\alpha+1} u+(-1)^{\alpha} \omega^{2} q^{-2 \lambda}\right] J_{n}^{\alpha} . \tag{108}
\end{gather*}
$$

The operator $C$ is self-adjoint. If we introduce the spectral transform of this operator so that $C$ corresponds to multiplication by the transform variable $x$, then (108) takes the form of a three-term recurrence relation for orthogonal polynomials $J_{n}^{\alpha}(x)$ of order $m$ in $x$. Indeed, comparing (108) with the three-term recurrence relation for the polynomials

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
P_{n}(x, z)={ }_{2} \phi_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
Q^{-n}, \\
0
\end{array} \quad ; Q,-x Q / z\right.
\end{array}\right), \begin{aligned}
& x P_{n}=z Q^{n} P_{n+1}+(1-z) Q^{n} P_{n}+\left(1-Q^{n}\right) P_{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that we get a match provided $Q=p, z_{\alpha}=q^{4 \lambda+1} u /(1+q) \omega^{2} p^{\alpha}$ and $C \sim$ $x \omega^{2} q^{-2 \lambda}(-1)^{\alpha}$. Making the identification

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}^{\alpha}(x, s)=\frac{q^{n(n-1) / 4}}{\sqrt{(p ; p)_{n}}}\left[\frac{q^{4 \lambda+1} u}{(1+q) \omega^{2} q^{\alpha}}\right]^{n / 2} P_{n}\left(x, z_{\alpha}\right) s^{\alpha}(-1)^{n(n+1) / 2} \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle J_{n}^{\alpha}, J_{n^{\prime}}^{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle^{\prime}=\delta_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}} \delta_{n n^{\prime}}(-1)^{(\alpha-n)(\alpha-n+1) / 2} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle f(x) s^{\alpha}, g(x) s^{\alpha^{\prime}}\right\rangle^{\prime} & \equiv \delta_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}} \frac{(-1)^{\alpha(\alpha+1) / 2}\left(1+z_{\alpha}\right)}{z_{\alpha}\left(p,-1 / z_{\alpha},-z_{\alpha} ; p\right)_{\infty}} \\
& \times\left(\sum_{k=0, x=p^{k}}^{\infty} x(p x ; p)_{\infty}\left(-\frac{x p}{z_{\alpha}} ; p\right)_{\infty} f(x) g(x)\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{k=0, x=-z_{\alpha} p^{k}}^{\infty} x(p x ; p)_{\infty}\left(-\frac{x p}{z_{\alpha}} ; p\right)_{\infty} f(x) g(x)\right) . \tag{113}
\end{align*}
$$

This is just a bilinear product, since the discrete measure can be negative. It is not difficult to verify that on this basis the superalgebra acts as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{+}=\omega q^{-\lambda} s\left(x-\frac{q^{4 \lambda} u}{(1+q) \omega^{2}} T_{s}^{-1} R_{s}\right) & F_{-}=q^{-\lambda} \frac{\omega}{s} R_{s}  \tag{114}\\
L=-\lambda+\frac{1}{2} s \partial_{s}
\end{array}
$$

where $R_{s} G(s)=G(-s)$.
For fixed $x=z_{0} p^{-\alpha_{0}}$ this representation is equivalent to the irreducible representation $\uparrow_{\lambda-\alpha_{0} / 2}^{\prime \prime}$ of $[0,-u]$, as given in (101). For fixed $x=p^{k}$ the representation is equivalent
to an irreducible representation $R_{k}\left(\omega^{2}, q^{\lambda}\right)$, unbounded above and below. Thus we have derived the decomposition
$\omega^{\prime}[0,0] \otimes \uparrow^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\lambda}[0,-u] \cong \oplus \sum_{\alpha_{0}=-\infty}^{\infty} \uparrow_{\lambda-\alpha_{0} / 2}^{\prime \prime}[0,-u] \oplus \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} R_{k}\left(\omega^{2}, q^{\lambda}\right)[0,-u]$.
Here the reduced basis corresponding to the representation $\uparrow_{\lambda-\alpha_{0} / 2}^{\prime \prime}$, equation (101), is given by
$e_{n}^{\left(\alpha_{0}\right)}(x, s)=(-1)^{n(n+1) / 2+\alpha_{0} n} \frac{\delta\left(x+z_{\alpha_{0}}\right)}{q^{n(n+1) / 4}} \sqrt{(p ; p)_{n}\left(-z_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1} ; p\right)_{\infty}\left|z_{\alpha_{0}}\right|^{n}} s^{\alpha}$.
We have

$$
\left\langle e_{n}^{\left(\alpha_{0}\right)}, e_{n^{\prime}}^{\left(\alpha_{0}^{\prime}\right)}\right\rangle^{\prime \prime}=\delta_{n n^{\prime}} \delta_{\alpha_{0} \alpha_{0}^{\prime}}(-1)^{\alpha_{0}\left(\alpha_{0}+1\right) / 2} \operatorname{sign}\left(-z_{\alpha_{0}}^{-1} ; p\right)_{\infty}
$$

The reduced basis corresponding to representation $R_{k}\left(\omega^{2}, q^{\lambda}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha}^{(k)}(x, s)=\frac{\delta\left(x-p^{k}\right) q^{k(k-1) / 4}}{\left|z_{0}\right|^{k / 2} q^{-\alpha k / 2}} \sqrt{(p ; p)_{k}\left|\left(-z_{\alpha} p^{-k} ; p\right)_{\infty}\right|} \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left\langle g_{\alpha}^{(k)}, g_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\right\rangle^{\prime \prime}=\delta_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}} \delta_{k k^{\prime}} \frac{(-1)^{k+(\alpha+k)(\alpha+k+1) / 2}}{\operatorname{sign}\left(-z_{\alpha} p^{-k} ; p\right)_{\infty}}
$$

The superalgebra action is
$F_{+} g_{\alpha}^{(k)}=(-1)^{k} q^{k / 2} \sqrt{\left|\omega^{2} q^{-2 \lambda}-\frac{q^{2 \lambda} p^{-k-\alpha}}{1+q}\right|} \operatorname{sign}\left(\omega^{2}-\frac{q^{4 \lambda} p^{-k-\alpha}}{1+q}\right) g_{\alpha+1}^{(k)}$
$F_{-} g_{\alpha}^{(k)}=(-1)^{\alpha} q^{k / 2} \sqrt{\left|\omega^{2} q^{-2 \lambda}+\frac{q^{2 \lambda+1} p^{-k-\alpha}}{1+q}\right|} g_{\alpha-1}^{(k)}$
$L g_{\alpha}^{(k)}=\left(-\lambda+\frac{1}{2} \alpha\right) g_{\alpha}^{(k)}$
where $k, \pm \alpha=0,1, \ldots$.
Again, the functions $J_{n}^{\alpha}(x, s)$ are the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for this decomposition. As before, the decomposition (115) can be used to obtain an identity relating the matrix elements of the 'group' operators with respect to the tensor product and the reduced bases.
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